## U.S. Underrated Red ICBM Growth

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

underestimated the future pro- qualitative superiority over duction of Soviet intercontin- the Soviet Union in ICBMs ental ballistic missiles, Defense Secretary Robert S. Mc-Namara said yesterday in Austin. Tex., after meeting with President Johnson.

But McNamara stressed that the U.S. offensive missile force was built big enough to cover such underestimation and would continue to have a 3 or 4 to 1 edge over the Soviet force.

The Defense Secretary said the 1965 national intelligence estimate of future Soviet missile strength was proving to be accurate for 1966, still looked good for mid-1867 but not for mid-1968.

"Evidence now suggests that the Soviets in mid-1968 will have more ICBMs than were predicted for that time period by intelligence esti-mates in 1965," McNamara

"But we had more than anticipated this development in our planning," he added. "This ligence officials, is that the new intelligence estimate, Soviets are increasing their therefore, has no basic impact ICBM production rate. Quan-

three points, declaring "it is missiles but in warplanes as vital" that they "are clearly well. understood by the American

planned, will continue to have ance debate.

The United States last year a substantial quantitative and

• "The United States has as many ICBMs today as the latest national intelligence estimate gives the Soviet Union several years hence.

• "Our strategic offensive forces have today and will continue to have in the future the capability of absorbing a deliberate first strike and retaliating with sufficient strength to inflict unacceptable damage upon the aggressor or any combination of aggressors.'

The United States has a force of about 1000 ICBMs backed up by a fleet of 41 Polaris submarines, each carrying 16 nuclear-tipped missiles. Not all the ICBMs are ready to fire. The plan is to have a total ICBM force of 1000 Minutemen and 54 Titan 2s.

Russia has less than 300 ICBMs now. The worrisome on our offensive strategic tity production has always force requirements." McNamara then made these sia in the past, not only in

Despite McNamara's assurances that the U.S. of-• "Even if the new intelli-|fensive missile force will stay gence estimated for mid-1968 well shead of Russia's, his proves accurate, the United latest disclosure about Russian States, without taking any ac-missile progress cannot help tions beyond those already but escalate the strategic bal-